

# Land and agriculture dimensions to carbon budgeting in New Zealand

Andy Reisinger, Deputy Director, NZAGRC Irish Climate Change Advisory Council, 21 October 2019



- 1) Zero Carbon Bill framework
- 2) 2050 emission targets and justification
- 3) Points of contention; actual climate outcomes
- 4) Summary and next steps

Providing knowledge, technologies & practices to grow agriculture's ability to create wealth for New Zealand in a carbon-constrained world

#### The Zero Carbon Bill

- framework for New Zealand's transition to a low emissions and climate resilient economy
  - long-term emissions reduction targets for 2050
  - emissions budgets as milestones towards targets
  - requirement for government to develop and implement policies for adaptation and mitigation
  - independent Climate Change Commission to give expert advice and keep the Government accountable
- Expected to be enacted by the end of the year
- Select Committee reported back TODAY



Climate Change Response (Zero Carbon) Amendment Bill: Summary

New Zealand Government

Providing knowledge, technologies & practices to grow agriculture's ability to create wealth for New Zealand in a carbon-constrained world



# Proposed 2050 emission targets

#### Split-gas target:

- reduce all GHGs (except biogenic methane) to net zero by 2050
- reduce emissions of biogenic methane
  - 10 % below 2017 levels by 2030
  - 24-47 % below 2017 levels by 2050
- targets are presented as consistent with 1.5°C temperature goal
- rationale for split target: CH<sub>4</sub> is short-lived, no need to go to zero



## IPCC global least-cost emissions pathways for 1.5°C

Providing knowledge, technologies & practices to grow agriculture's ability to create wealth for New Zealand in a carbon-constrained world

#### Global total net CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

#### Billion tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>/yr

50



#### Non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions relative to 2010

Emissions of non-CO<sub>2</sub> forcers are also reduced or limited in pathways limiting global warming to 1.5°C with no or limited overshoot, but they do not reach zero globally.



2060

2080

2100

Pathways limiting global warming below 2°C (Not shown above)







# Targets in int'l context

NZ targets are based on but not identical to global targets:

- net zero long-lived GHG target more ambitious than global range (world goes net-zero all gases by about 2060-2070)
- biogenic methane target of 24-47% slightly less ambitious than global range (because NZ includes landfill methane)

Surprisingly little debate about appropriateness of adopting <u>global</u> emissions targets as <u>national</u> target – no reference or quantification of CBDR



# Contention around 2050 targets in the land sector

- Main debate on 2050 target range for methane
  - implies stock reduction without new technology
  - efficient producer, leakage, loss of competitiveness
  - GWP metric claimed to 'overstate' warming from CH<sub>4</sub>
  - alternative target: reduce CH<sub>4</sub> emissions so they cause "no additional warming"
- ... but also concerns about over-reliance on forestry offsets in the "net" zero target for long-lived gases and restrictive accounting rules for CO<sub>2</sub> removals

8





TIME TARGE S



FINAL CONTRACTOR



THE REPORT OF



FINAL CONTRACTOR





# **Contention around 2050 targets**

#### Is the biogenic CH4 target fair and reasonable?

- "YES": emissions should go as low as possible to minimize warming. Aiming only for "no additional warming" implies a grandfathering approach, which would be unfair.
- "NO": Warming from CO<sub>2</sub> keeps increasing, whereas warming from CH<sub>4</sub> would decline if emissions are reduced by 47%. We're not punishing fossil fuel emitters for the warming caused by their past emissions prior to 1990, so we should do the same for CH4 emitters.

Providing knowledge, technologies & practices to grow agriculture's ability to create wealth for New Zealand in a carbon-constrained world Equitable means "no additional warming" from CH<sub>4</sub>, and that implies reductions of 10-22% by 2050





Providing knowledge, technologies & practices to grow agriculture's ability to create wealth for New Zealand in a carbon-constrained world

TITLE C

**NEW ZEALAND** 

**Research Centre** 





Providing knowledge, technologies & practices to grow agriculture's ability to create wealth for New Zealand in a carbon-constrained world

THE STREET

LTURAL GREENHOUSE GAS

**NEW ZEALAND** 

**Research Centre** 



Grandfathering emission rights

NEW ZEALAND AGRICULTURAL GREENHOUSE GAS Research Centre New Zealand's
e emissions

0.0015

0.001

Warming from CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

warming due to emissions prior to 2019

# in 2050:

- a large part of the total warming from CO<sub>2</sub> will be from emissions prior to 2019
- almost all of the warming from CH<sub>4</sub> will be from emissions that have yet to occur

2000

Year

2050





Providing knowledge, technologies & practices to

grow agriculture's ability to create wealth for New Zealand in a carbon-constrained world Offsetting CH<sub>4</sub> with CO<sub>2</sub>

Some agriculture stakeholders argue that CO<sub>2</sub> removals by LULUCF should be used to offset on-going CH<sub>4</sub> emissions

- ... illogical if the argument for a split-gas target was that the two gases are fundamentally non-fungible
- ... if fungibility is ok, the rationale for a split-gas target becomes problematic (economic protection for sector?)
- ... common claim that it is more important to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> than reduce CH<sub>4</sub>, so wouldn't offsetting be better for the climate?

Actual climate outcomes under offsetting can be modelled; CH<sub>4</sub> reductions avoid more climate change in the near term (more than a century) than if emissions are offset using GWP



CH₄

**NEW ZEALAND** AGRICULTURAL GREENHOUSE GAS Research Centre

Offsetting

#### own calculations (MAGICC)

Providing knowledge, technologies & practices to grow agriculture's ability to create wealth for New Zealand in a carbon-constrained world

#### Effect of CH<sub>4</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> offsetting

 $CH_4$  reduced by 47%  $CO_2$  net zero







IRAL GREENHOUSE GAS **Research Centre** 

စ္ 0.003

#### Effect of CH<sub>4</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> offsetting

• CH<sub>4</sub> reduced by 47%

• CO<sub>2</sub> net zero

constant CH₄ CO<sub>2</sub> net removals to offset CH<sub>4</sub>

 reducing CH<sub>4</sub> is better for the climate than offsetting it with CO<sub>2</sub> until about 2200

- after 2200, offsetting turns out better
  - (assuming constant emissions and removals from 2050 onwards)





## Summary and next steps

(my view) No good prima facie reason why aiming for "no additional warming" from an individual gas and emitter is a useful benchmark within a global commons problem

... especially when gases differ fundamentally in their lifetimes

... and historical as well as future responsibility of countries.

- Select Committee report-back; final Government decisions
- to advise on target, important work needed on actual mitigation potential and economic/social costs of mitigation in agriculture, as well as leakage / competitiveness modelling ...

Providing knowledge, technologies & practices to grow agriculture's ability to create wealth for New Zealand in a carbon-constrained world ... and actual policies to reduce emissions (—> ICCC report)



# Thank you !

— Zero Carbon Bill: <u>www.parliament.nz/en/pb/bills-and-laws/bills-proposed-</u> <u>laws/document/BILL\_87861/climate-change-response-zero-carbon-amendment-bill</u>

Ministry for the Environment: <u>www.mfe.govt.nz/climate-change/zero-carbon-amendment-bill</u>

Interim Climate Change Committee: <u>iccc.mfe.govt.nz</u>

Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment: <u>pce.parliament.nz</u>

NZAGRC and Zero Carbon Bill technical note: <u>www.nzagrc.org.nz</u>; <u>www.nzagrc.org.nz/user/file/1941/Scientific%20aspects%20of%202050%20methane%20targets.pdf</u>

Farming matters (farmers' resources): <u>www.farmingmatters.nz</u>

Disclaimer: any views expressed in this presentation are my own and not necessarily those of NZAGRC partners individually nor collectively

Providing knowledge, technologies & practices to grow agriculture's ability to create wealth for New Zealand in a carbon-constrained world